PROPOSAL FOR THE

TRANSFER OF

THE LEBRET METIS FARM

TO THE

SOUTHEAST AREA COMMUNITY

SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE



SUBMITTED BY:

THE PROVISIONAL BOARD OF THE SOUTHEAS AREA COMMUNITY SERVIC CO-OPERATIVE

October 30, 1981

The Honourable Walter Smishek Municipal Affairs Urban Division & SHC Room 302 2405 Legislative Drive Regina, Sask. S4S 0B3

Dear Mr. Smishek,

## RE: LEBRET METIS FARM TRANSFER

Southeast area met the SPS to present the proposal for the turnover of the Lebret Metis Farm to the Association of Metis and Non-Status Indians of Saskatchewan. At the meeting there were a number of concerns, firstly, we premised all the discussion with the following:

- 1) We requested an initial reactions to the proposal. We were concerned about the assumption and asked if there were other required documentation, once this information was given.
- 2) We requested that appointments of a negotiation committee
  - a) we want to know who we will negotiate with/Cabinet committees .
  - b) transfer to AMNSIS is necessary immediately
  - c) amount of the transfer
- 3) We requested a time frame
  - a) SPS response was that SPS internal requirement/proposal to cabinet
  - b) Response to AMNSIS to facilitate the detailing internal requirements
- 4) Assumption
  - a) everything is still negotiable
  - b) inventory would be transferred
  - c) requirement of a transition budget

## PROBLEM

- 1) The government (SPS) is shifting negotiations for the farm from AMNSIS to the co-operative.
- 2) AMNSIS needs identified the individuals which will carry the negotiations. At this time SPS will deal with the policy decision then. Agriculture must become involved, co-operatives must become involved.
- 3) For negotiations to proceed and commitments made by other government agencies we require agreement in principle that the land transfer will be recommendation, a reality.

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

- a) That the government recognize that the negotiation mechanism for the transfer of the farm is AMNSIS.
- b) That the government establish a committee to identify individuals related to the farm.
- c) That the commitment in principle be made immediately for the transfer of the farm.

Yours truly,

Mustiples Ja Intuire

Timetalde:

1 Re-action to the proposal	Farm Management.
Alclean the assumption.	
	en de la companya de La companya de la co
(b)	and the second s
2) Warristin connective that will be respond	le for le respuisien fon the tourn
3) Wagocation committee that well be response	
And the second s	
3) Time Frame before next growing	
(A) proposalo and negociation	
(B) Duelipmenfalbulgest	
D) Assumption	Land is movilable
O page 1	1 Abonginal Rights
Otransfer wordel include 100%	2 2 1
3 December Agrantleine Ban	
1 No Invertory / little invertory verve	V V
6) Everything is still regorable:	A Special arminion on
D. Codhilland a state of the st	(a) Special armingement (special property)
	6
3) SPS will prepare a proposal to the Cabine	t
_ problem regarding livestode/equipment.	The second secon
prosecut requirement to escape afford and a second	
3) BOARD OF DROWINGTOWAL.	
- Eight members.	* 1015 Miles # 100000000000000000000000000000000000
- Co-op must involve others	a security was a second to these a second to the second to
- Appointment of chairman, vice-chairman	societari trasumi
- The father of the contract o	· / we say I want of
A second section of the	and the second of the second o

ime Frame = Expanditures from inventory	redo-budgef	= TRAWSFUR	
= Co-operatives = provisional boo = financial respon	nse sirarci	know what we are	
= Farm Plan:	imamug	mest computed	
Flyancial response			
November 15 > Proposal to			
Developmental.			x *
ease at productive value.  projected long loven loase  / Lands Branch	#32,858	37 quarters	
ease at productive value.  projected long leren leave	#32,858 ut hand Bank		
ease at productive value.  projected long lenn lease  /kands Branch.	#32,858 ut hand Bank		
ease at productive value.  projected long lenn lease  /kands Branch.	#32,858 ut hand Bank		

METIS FARM MEETING SID DUTCHUCK DECEMBER 20/1983

## QUESTIONS:

WE WANT THE METIS FARM TAKEN OUT OF TREATY LAND ENTITLEMENT. WE RECOGNIZE AND SUPPORT THE TREATY INDIANS RECIEVING JUST COMPENSATION FOR BEING TREATED UNJUSTLY BUT WE DO NOT FEEL THAT TRADITION METIS LAND SHOULD BE PART OF THIS ENTITLEMENT.

WE WANT THE FARM TO CONTINUE AS IT WAS DESIGNATED THAT IT BE "USED FOR BENIFIT AND USE OF THE METIS OF THE AREA."

WE HAVE MADE A FORMAL SUBMITION TO THIS GOVERNMENT DETAILING THE ACTIVITIES AND USAGE THAT WE FEEL IS NECESSARY. THIS USAGE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE DEVELOPMENT PLANS OF THE METIS OF THE AREA IN PROVIDING THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA DEVELOP.

WE ARE HERE TO SIT DOWN AND DISCUSS A TIMETABLE FOR THE ABOVE TO HAPPEN. WE NEED TO IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUALS AND THE TIME FRAME THAT WE CAN FOLLOW.

IT IS OUR OBJECTIVE FOR THIS MEETING.